Demystifying the Review Process: My experience as a Grant Reviewer
Professional and Academic Development (PAD) Seminar Series
Friday, March 11, 2011 - 1:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.
Margherio Conference Center

Discussion Topics:
- What is NIH’s new review format and the relative importance of significance, innovation, and approach in determining the score?
- What makes a proposal a 'winner' in the eyes of a reviewer?
- What 'red flags' should you avoid when preparing a grant submission?
- What are the differences between NSF and NIH grant reviews?
- What is the NSF looking for when it asks for your proposal to address a ‘broader impact’?
- How should you contextualize your particular proposal in relation to your ongoing program or research and career development?
- How much preliminary work needs to be done in order to convince the reviewers that you are capable of conducting the proposed work? How do you present this without overwhelming your proposal with details?
- If the reviewers are not from your specialized field, how can you write for a broader audience?
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